

RWT 16 CV 1467 20pgs.

*U.S. District Federal*  
**IN THE ~~COURT~~ COURT OF ~~RENEE~~ ~~FEREBEE~~**  
**~~COUNTY~~ MARYLAND**

FILED  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MARYLAND  
2016 MAY 16 PM 2:42

CLERK'S OFFICE  
AT GREENBELT

BY \_\_\_\_\_ DEPUTY

**PLAINTIFF**

**MS. RENEE (COG) FEREBEE**

**VS.**

**RETINA GROUP OF WASHINGTON**

**(DOCTOR BRUCE GOODMAN O.D.)**

*Pursuant to Md. Rule 23 F.R.C.P.*  
**PLAINTIFF HEREBY IS FILING A CLAIM AGAINST THE**

**(RGW) AGAINST DR. BRUCE GOODMAN FOR  
NEGLIGENCE, WRONG OBSERVATION. PLAINTIFF HAVE  
ENCLOSED ALL DOCUMENTS WHERE PLAINTIFF'S EYES  
WERE NOT NEEDED FOR SHOTS THAT PLAINTIFF HAVE  
NO KNOWLEDGE OF WHAT THE SHOTS WERE.**

**PLAINTIFF VISION WAS IN FAR MORE BETTER  
CONDITION BEFORE SEEING DR. GOODMAN, WHEN  
SHOTS WERE ADMINISTERED, PLAINTIFF VISION  
BECAME WORST, WHERE PLAINTIFF NOW HAVE TO  
WEAR BI-FOCALS. PLAINTIFF WAS TOLD BY TWO  
OPTOMETRIST, THAT SHE NEEDED TO SEE A SPECIALIST,  
BECAUSE OF BLOOD IN HER EYE, WHICH THE**

OPTOMETRIST HAVE MENTION, IT COMES FROM HYPERTENSION, AND DIABETES. PLAINTIFF WOULD LIKE FOR THE COURT TO KNOW, SHE IS NOT DIABETIC, AND HER BLOOD PRESSURE IS VERY NORMAL, WHICH PLAINTIFF TAKES 15.5 MILLIGRAM ONE A WEEK, NOT SEVEN DAYS A WEEK. PLAINTIFF ALSO BELIEVES THAT CONSPIRACY HAS PLAYED A MAJOR ROLE IN THIS CASE AS WELL. SIMPLY, BECAUSE THE PLAINTIFF IS THE ORIGINAL CHILD OF HER HEAVENLY FATHER, AND DID IN FACT MENTION THAT TO DR. GOODMAN, WHO REPLIED WITH A NONCHALANT ATTITUDE, AND ALSO SAID "I'LL TAKE CARE OF YOU". PLAINTIFF HAVE ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS THAT SHOWS WHERE HER EYE VISION DID IN FACT DROPE, SINCE THE SHOTS, AND PLAINTIFF LEFT EYE DID NOT NEED ANYTHING AT ALL, NOR DID THE PLAINTIFF'S RIGHT EYE, PLAINTIFF JUST NEEDED REGULAR GLASSES, BECAUSE SHE IS ON THE COMPUTER EVERY DAY. PLAINTIFF USE TO WEAR EYE GLASSES AND CONTACTS LEN, FOR MILD STIGMATISM WHICH WAS GOOD WHEN SHE DROVE, AND SOMETIMES WHEN READING.



**PLAINTIFF WAS TOLD BY A SPECIALIST AT HOWARD UNIVERSITY, (DOCUMENTS ENCLOSED), THAT OPTOMETRISTS JUST ARE DOCTORS WHO EXAMINE YOU FOR GLASSES, PRETTY MUCH SAYING, THEY DO NOT HAVE THE EXPERTISE NOR THE EQUIPMENT TO DIAGNOSE SUCH PROGNOSIS.**

**CONCLUSION:**

**PLAINITFF IS SEEKING FIVE HUNDRED MILLION DOLLARS IN PUNITIVE DAMAGES, TORT, WRONG OBSERVATION, AND NEGLIGENCE. PLAINTIFF'S VISION IS NOT LIKE IT USE TO BE, PLAINTIFF BELIEVES THAT THE DOCTOR KNEW OF IT'S CIRCUMSTANCES AROUND ME, (THE THING), WHICH DOCTOR GOODMAN MENTION TO ME HIMSELF "THAT'S SOMETHING IS GOING ON". PLAINTIFF IS DISPUTING THE FACT THAT THE DOCTOR KNOWS OF IT'S SITUATION SURROUNDING THE PLAINTIFF, AND STILL WENT AHEAD ADMINISTER SHOTS THAT WAS NOT NEEDED, ALONG WITH THE TWO OPTOMETRIST WHO ALSO KNEW ABOUT PLAINTIFF SITUATIONS, WHICH THE DOCTOR AT "MY EYE DOCTOR" PRESCRIBE MEDICINE ONLY FOR THE RIGHT EYE, BUT PLAINTIFF NOTICE THAT THERE WAS NO MEDICINE IN THE RIGHT LENS, AND**

PLAINTIFF HAD TO CONFRONT THAT PARTICULAR SITUATION TO "MY EYE DOCTOR", AND MY GLASSES WERE RETRIEVED AND LATER WAS PRESCRIBED WITH MEDICATION, AND THE SUPERVISOR "JAMES", REFUNDED AT LEAST TEN DOLLARS BACK OFF MY PRESCRIPTION ORDER, CONSPIRACY, AS YOU CAN SEE, WAS AMONGST THE THREE EYE DOCTERS {AMERICA'S BEST, MY EYE DOCTOR, AND RGW) PLAINTIFF HIGHLY RECOMMENDS INVESTIGATIONS, AND THE YOUNG DOCTOR AT "MY EYE DOCTOR" HAS LEFT IT'S ESTABLISHMENT.

PLAINTIFF WOULD ALSO LIKE TO MENTION, BECAUSE SHE IS THE ORIGINAL CHILD OF HER HEAVENLY FATHER, SOCIETY IS CALLING HER CRAZY, AND EVERYONE SEEMS TO BE TAKEN ADVANTAGE OF THE PLAINTIFF.

RETINA GROUP OF WASHINGTON

DR. BRUCE GOODMAN

600 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE

WASHINGTON, D.C. S.E. 20003



**MS. RENEE (COG) FEREBEE**

**ATTORNEY**

**P.O. BOX 712, TEMPLE HILLS, MD. 20757**

**240 510-8826**